UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,929	07/08/2004	Jeffrey C. Felt	32355.12.6.1.6	1494
22859 INTELLECTI	7590 10/16/2007 JAL PROPERTY GROUP		EXAM	INER
FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A.			ARAJ, MICHAEL J	
200 SOUTH S SUITE 4000	200 SOUTH SIXTH STREET SUITE 4000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			3733	_
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		10/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

7	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/500,929	FELT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael J. Araj	3733			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions and the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a root od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matt	•			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1,3-5,8,16,19,28,46-48 and 50-58 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 3-5, 8, 16, 19, 28, 46-48 and 50-58 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 January 2007 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square one drawing(s) be held in abeyant ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 3-5, 8, 16, 19, 28, 46-48, and 51-57 are objected to because of the following informalities: In the independent claims 1 and 50, the applicant claims an implant. All dependent claims, disclose "a positioned implant". Therefore it is unclear weather applicant is claiming "an implant" or "a positioned implant". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3-5, 8, 16, 19, 28, 46-48, and 50-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1, 50 and 58, line 1, recites the limitation "the lateral compartment", which lacks a proper antecedent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1, 3-5, 8, 16, 19, 28 and 46-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they are drawn to non-statutory subject matter. In claim 1, lines 1-3, applicant positively

Application/Control Number: 10/500,929

Art Unit: 3733

recites part of a human, i.e. "positioned within the lateral compartment of a knee joint... within a mammalian body". In claim 1, lines 3-4, applicant positively recites part of a human, i.e. "the implant positioned in the joint". Thus claims 3-5, 8, 16, 19, 28, 46-49 and 51-57 include a human within their scope and are non-statutory. Claims 50 and 58 claim the same non-statutory subject matter.

A claim directed to or including within its scope a human is not considered to be patentable subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101. The grant of a limited, but exclusive property right in a human being is prohibited by the Constitution. In re Wakefield, 422 F.2d 897, 164 USPQ 636 (CCPA 1970).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 16, 46-48, 50, 52-55, and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fell et al (WO 00/59411-the same as U.S. Patent No. 6,206,927).

Fell et al. disclose a device able to be positioned with a lateral compartment of a knee joint having a lateral tibial surface and a lateral femoral condyle comprising a knee implant (Fig. 10) providing a first major surface positioned upon and congruent with a tibial surface of the knee and a second major surface positioned against a femoral

Art Unit: 3733

condyle of the knee, wherein the second major surface is provided with a femoral glide path (8). The implant further comprises one or more tibial projections (29) extending distally over a rim of the posterior portion of the tibial surface in order to improve fixation in situ. The implant further comprises at least one ancillary component (28) integrated into the implant to provide fixation into bone. Fell et al. also discloses using a biologically active agent that is known to stimulate bone/tissue growth and also using a ceramic and or metal material (page 6, paragraph 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 19, 28, 51 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Fell et al. (WO 00/59411).

Fell et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the specified dimensions of the preformed component or the glide path as set forth in claims 3, 9, 19, 28, 51 and 58. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the dimensions of the preformed component and the glide path of claims 3, 9, 19, 28, 51 and 58, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-5, 8, 16, 19, 28, 46-48 and 50-58 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Furthermore, the current condition of these claims are directed towards nonstatutory subject matter which is why there is no double patent rejection in this office action.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 for art cited of interest.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/500,929

Art Unit: 3733

Page 6

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Araj whose telephone number is 571-272-5963. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJA

SUPERVISORY FATENT EXAMINER